February 7, 2014

Date:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
V. Earl Zhivago Coleman Defendant	Case No. 1-14-MJ-21
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Ref that the defendant be detained pending trial.	form Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Fi	ndings of Fact
	in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	f ten years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable states	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv	
the possession or use of a firearm a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon C. § 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed w or local offense.	vhile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presur person or the community. I further find that defendant	mption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another nt has not rebutted that presumption.
•	ve Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	t has committed an offense
✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years of ten years.	or more is prescribed in:
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	<u>seq.)</u> .*
(2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance a	ablished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions and the safety of the community.
✓ (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	ve Findings (B) near.
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
Part II – Statement of	the Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at th evidence ✓ a preponderance of the evidence that:	ne detention hearing establishes by clear and convincing
defendant is charged with possession of heroin with intent to a Although defendant is 37 years old, he has no stable residence	ce and no recent work history. He admits to a serious heroin er 30 failures to appear in felony, misdemeanor, and traffic cases. s, with no apparent effect on his willingness to appear. Also, case. Defendant's consistent history of violating orders of
	s Regarding Detention
corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from pers	nity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the

Judge's Signature: /s/ Joseph G. Scoville

Name and Title: Joseph G. Scoville, U.S. Magistrate Judge